



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,850	01/21/2004	Daniel R. Lynn	F-5581 CIP DIV DIV	3059

7590

09/19/2006

BAXTER HEALTHCARE CORPORATION

Bradford R.L. Price
Fenwal Division RLP-30
Route 120 and Wilson Road
Round Lake, IL 60073

EXAMINER

KIM, SUN U

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1723

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/761,850

Applicant(s)

LYNN ET AL.

Examiner

John Kim

Art Unit

1723

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/21/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1723

1. The information disclosure statement filed 1/21/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. European Patent No. 0155005 is not of record in parent applications and copy has not been provided.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the “right to exclude” granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Art Unit: 1723

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 4-9 of U.S. Patent No. 5,591,337 (Lynn '337).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the second heat and pressure sealed region of Lynn '337 that joins the rims of the housing elements to the first heat and pressure sealed region of filter layers inherently forms a peripheral seal comprising a commingled melted matrix comprising material of the first and second housing elements and fiber filter media of the filter pad assembly. Method of claim 7 of the instant application is an inherent step of using the blood filter of Lynn '337.

4. Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,422,397 or US Patent No. 6,367,634 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,591,337 (Lynn '337). Claims 1-2, 4 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,422,397 or US Patent No. 6,367,634 teach the claimed blood filter device and method of claims 1 and 4-8 of the instant application except that the filter medium is a filter pad assembly comprising fiber filter media. Lynn '337 teaches filter pad assembly comprising fiber filter media that are heat and pressure sealable to form a seal (34) wherein the essential symmetry of the filter pad assembly (20) maximizes the surface area available for leukocyte removal as the peripheral seal (34) occupies only a relatively small area of the overall pad assembly (see col. 5, line 58 – col. 8, line 54). It would have been obvious to substitute filter pad assembly comprising fiber filter media that are heat and pressure sealable for filter medium of

Art Unit: 1723

meltable filter layer of U.S. Patent No. 6,422,397 or US Patent No. 6,367,634. Method of claim 7 of the instant application is an inherent step of using the blood filter of U.S. Patent No.

6,422,397 or US Patent No. 6,367,634 in view of Lynn '337.

5. Claims 1 and 4-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,902 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,591,337 (Lynn '337). Claims 1-2 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,902 teach the claimed blood filter device and method of claims 1 and 4-8 of the instant application except that the filter medium is a filter pad assembly comprising fiber filter media. Lynn '337 teaches filter pad assembly comprising fiber filter media that are heat and pressure sealable to form a seal (34) wherein the essential symmetry of the filter pad assembly (20) maximizes the surface area available for leukocyte removal as the peripheral seal (34) occupies only a relatively small area of the overall pad assembly (see col. 5, line 58 – col. 8, line 54). It would have been obvious to substitute filter pad assembly comprising fiber filter media that are heat and pressure sealable for filter medium of meltable filter layer of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,902. Method of claim 7 of the instant application is an inherent step of using the blood filter of U.S. Patent No. 6,745,902 in view of Lynn '337.


6. Applicant's arguments, see response, filed 7/10/06, with respect to claims 1-8 rejected under 35 USC 102(e) based on Lynn et al, US 5,591,337 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 USC 102(e) based on Lynn et al, US 5,591,337 has been withdrawn. However, the nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejections based upon US 5,591,337, US 6,422,397, US 6,367,634 and US 6,745,902 still stands.

Art Unit: 1723

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-1142. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7 a.m. - 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on 571-272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


John Kim
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1723

JK
September 14, 2006